

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,212	11/17/2003	J. Christian Swindal	1857.2020000	2451	
26111 STERNE KES	7590 07/14/200 SSLER, GOLDSTEIN &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			HANSEN, JONATHAN M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2886		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
10/713,212 SWINDAL, J. CHRIS		STIAN						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	JONATHAN M. HANSEN	2886						

	JONATHAN M. HANSEN	2886						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this libitation, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the bilication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time iods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one went however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: (f box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWINTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fet have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions fet enurser 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) is set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL.								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b)</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c)</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul> </li> </ul>								
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c			ie issues ioi					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ottod ciairris.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-17 and 19-27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
/TARIFUR R CHOWDHURY/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2886								

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In regards to the applicant's argument that Osawa fails to disclose an interferometer, wherein the interferometer is configured to determine the position of the alignment mark using an interference pattern, the Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Attention is brought to claims 15, 27 and 31 of Osawa, wherein a positional deviation between a first substrate (mask) and second substrate (wafer) is detected by the examination of a single image that comprises light from both substrates (mask and wafer). It is further pointed out that the device illustrated in Figure 1A is a Fizeau interferometer configuration.

Attention is also brought to the disclosure of the alignment marks on the mask and wafer being Fresnel zone plates. These zone plates are understood, by those skilled in the art, to accomplish the focusing of light through diffraction. It is further known by those skilled in the art that each plate can be configured to cause the diffracted light to constructively interfere at a desired focal point.

In regards to the applicant's arguments that Osawa fails to disclose a laser source with a coherence length of about 0.1 to 0.5mm, the Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Attention is brought to column 2, line 68 of Osawa, wherein a semiconductor laser having a coherence length of 0.3mm is disclosed...